

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-**  
**COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:**  
**CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

259683

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO-YEAR)

29 March 2000

04 April 2000 T

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or  
 in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
 SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION  ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
 ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME M. John Oracion		RANK Inv	STAR NO. 241	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 113	
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT [REDACTED]		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 28 Jan 00 1930		BEAT OF INCIDENT 524	LOCATION CODE* 19		
ACCUSED	NAME 1. Ronald Holt	RANK PO	STAR NO. 7245	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 005	
	2.						
	SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. M/B [REDACTED] 61	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 24 Apr 91		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	PHYS. COND. CODE↑ 01	
2.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN			
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED 1. 2.		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE		
COMPLAINANTS NAME [REDACTED]		*ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE Chgo, IL	TELEPHONE [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE F/B	D.O.B./AGE 35 yoa	PHYS. COND. CODE↑ 01
VICTIMS NAME [REDACTED]		ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE [REDACTED]	TELEPHONE [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE [REDACTED]	D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED]	PHYS. COND. CODE↑ [REDACTED]
WITNESSES NAME [REDACTED]		ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE [REDACTED]	TELEPHONE [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE [REDACTED]	D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED]	PHYS. COND. CODE↑ [REDACTED]

SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

SEE REPORT

**I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\***

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

**I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†**

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

## SUMMARY

## ATTACHMENTS

## FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).

In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

SEE REPORT

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
1,2,3,11,13,14,14,23	22,29	8,9,10	29

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for .... days (not to exceed 5 days).

## FINDINGS:

Accused #1: Officer Ronald Holt, Star #7245

Allegations #1 through #3: NOT SUSTAINED

A



O.R.S. Supervisor Andrea [redacted] Lenborough #109

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)
01 Feb. 2000	29 March 2000	58 Days

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE

 1091

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

**ALLEGATIONS:**

On 28 January 2000 at 2205 hours, Sgt. F. Madison, Star #1816, Unit 005, telephoned the Office of Professional Standards and registered this complaint with Civilian M. Webb, Employee # [REDACTED] Unit 113. The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 28 January 2000 at about 1930 hours, inside of [REDACTED] off-duty **Officer Ronald Holt, Star #7245, Unit 005:**

- (1) told her to "shut the fuck up" during a verbal altercation;
- (2) struck the bathroom damaging it.

[REDACTED] also alleged that on an unspecified date and time in 1998, inside of [REDACTED] **Officer Holt:**

- (3) threw a lamp at her during a verbal altercation.

INVESTIGATION:

The allegations are as listed in the "Allegations Section" of this summary report digest.

The Initiation Report of Sgt. S. Madison, Star #1816, Unit 005, indicated that after responding to a domestic disturbance at [REDACTED] Sgt. Madison was informed by the complainant, [REDACTED] that she was involved in a verbal dispute with [REDACTED] Officer Ronald Holt, Star #7245, Unit 005. [REDACTED] related that she retreated to the bathroom during the verbal dispute and was followed there by Officer Holt, who then punched the bathroom door putting his fist through the door.

Sgt. Madison was informed by [REDACTED] that there was no physical confrontation between her and Officer Holt nor did she feel threatened by him. Sgt. Madison indicated that Officer Holt had left the scene when officers responded.

In a telephone interview with the complainant, [REDACTED] related that she was involved in a verbal altercation with [REDACTED] Officer Ronald Holt, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] told her several times, "Shut the fuck up."

[REDACTED] then went upstairs to the bathroom to be left alone at which time Officer Holt followed her. [REDACTED] stated that she locked the door to the bathroom but a few seconds later, Officer Holt punched the door making a large hole in the middle. At that time, [REDACTED] went to the bedroom to call the police but found Officer Holt inside the bedroom and grabbing for the telephone. Officer Holt stated that he would call the police.

[REDACTED] related that once the police arrived, she related essentially the same information to them and showed them the door that Officer Holt punched. No pictures of the damaged door were taken by the police.

[REDACTED] stated that this incident was the second time that Officer Holt became violent towards her indicating that the first time was on an unspecified date and time in 1998. [REDACTED] During this incident, [REDACTED] alleged that Officer Holt threw a lamp at her during a verbal altercation. [REDACTED] stated that she never reported this incident and further denied having been involved in past incidents resulting in complaint register numbers against [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] denied that Officer Holt was ever physically violent with her but expressed some fear since he had a gun and she did not.

INVESTIGATION: (continued)

The General Offense Case Report for Criminal Damage, R.D. Number [REDACTED] indicated that [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] were engaged in a verbal altercation at which time [REDACTED] went inside the bathroom to avoid any further incidents. At that time, Officer Ronald Holt struck the bathroom door with his hands and put a hole in the door. [REDACTED] related to the responding officers that she was not hurt nor did she feel threatened by Officer Holt. The responding officers provided [REDACTED] with the domestic crime information sheet. At the time this investigation was completed, the criminal damage investigation was still open.

The Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) printout of events and tape indicated that a call was made from the [REDACTED] residence. The tape revealed yelling and shouting by [REDACTED] as Officer Holt was speaking to the OEC dispatcher. Officer Holt told the dispatcher that he was an off-duty police officer and he needed a supervisor over to his residence, where he was having an argument with [REDACTED].

In To/From subject reports from the witnesses, Officers Dana Carrillo, Star #19160, Unit 005, and Debra Tragarz, Star #17661, Unit 005, they related essentially the same information, but not verbatim. Officers Carrillo and Tragarz related they were assigned to a domestic disturbance at [REDACTED] where they were met by [REDACTED]. Both officers observed a hole in the bathroom door, which was described as being about seven to twelve inches in diameter. The officers stated that no pictures were taken of the door.

In a formal statement and also in a subsequent To/From subject report of the accused, Officer Ronald Holt related that he was involved in a verbal altercation with [REDACTED]. The verbal altercation stemmed from [REDACTED]. This caused [REDACTED] to become irate and very abusive towards Officer Holt. Officer Holt discontinued his conversation with [REDACTED] as she began to verbally abuse and degrade him in front of [REDACTED]. Officer Holt stated that the conversation resumed in the bathroom, where [REDACTED] continued with her profane language and began waving a curling iron at him. Officer Holt, while asking [REDACTED] to calm down, was standing inside the bathroom about a foot across the threshold. Officer Holt then stuck his head out the door to check on [REDACTED] at which point [REDACTED] quickly grabbed the door and pushed it as hard as she could as Officer Holt began looking inside the bathroom. Officer Holt related that he did not have enough time to move out of the way so he blocked the oncoming door with his hands and arms at which time his hands and arms went through the door, which he described as nothing more than hollow core.

INVESTIGATION: (continued)

After realizing that he sustained some abrasions and blood across his forearm, Officer Holt decided to call 911 to request a supervisor at his residence pursuant to policy and procedure of the Chicago Police Department. During this time, [REDACTED] began telling Officer Holt that she would have him arrested and that he would lose his job. [REDACTED] statements caused Officer Holt to become afraid so he left the residence. Officer Holt stated that he did not seek medical attention for his injuries.

Both Officer Ronald Holt and [REDACTED] requested that [REDACTED] not be involved in this investigation and related that they would not allow any interviews with [REDACTED]

**CONCLUSION:**

There is insufficient evidence to support or disprove the allegations made by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that the bathroom door was already shut and locked, when Officer Ronald Holt, Star #7245, Unit 005 related that [REDACTED] was the one who shut the door on him with such force that he sustained injuries on his arms. Due to the lack of available witnesses and documentation of the Officer Holt's injuries, it is therefore recommended that the allegations against Officer Holt be classified as Not Sustained.

/llb